

# Discontinuous homomorphisms without Hamel bases

Paul Larson

Department of Mathematics  
Miami University  
Oxford, Ohio 45056  
larsonpb@miamioh.edu

April 14, 2026

## joint work with Saharon Shelah

Two equivalent formulations of the Axiom of Choice:

- If  $I \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ , then there is a function

$$f: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$$

such that  $f(i) \in A_i$  for each  $i \in I$ .

- Every set can be wellordered.

# Two consequences of Choice

- $\mathbb{R}$  can be wellordered.
- There is a function choosing a member from each  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  class. (A Vitali set, giving rise to a nonmeasurable set of reals.)

A Hamel basis is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  over the scalar field  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Equivalently, it is a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that every element of  $\mathbb{R}$  can be written as a linear combination of members of  $X$  (with rational coefficients) in exactly one way.

If  $\mathbb{R}$  can be wellordered then Hamel bases exist.

# Discontinuous homomorphisms

A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *homomorphism* if

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A continuous homomorphism has the form  $f(x) = ax$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A function is *measurable* if the preimage of each open interval is Lebesgue measurable.

It is a classical fact that every measurable homomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

However any (nontrivial) permutation of a Hamel basis extends to a (discontinuous) homomorphism.

Two joint results with Jindrich Zapletal:

- If there is a discontinuous homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there is a Vitali set.
- The existence of a Vitali set does not imply the existence of a discontinuous homomorphism (assuming the consistent existence of a strongly inaccessible cardinal).

The existence of a discontinuous homomorphisms does not imply the existence of Hamel basis.

**Theorem** (Larson-Shelah) If ZF is consistent, then so is ZF + “there exists a discontinuous homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ” + “there is no Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ ”.

Suppose that  $M$  is a model of ZFC, and  $(P, \leq)$  is a partial order in  $M$ .

A set  $D \subseteq P$  is *dense* in  $P$  if for each  $p \in P$  there is a  $q \in D$  with  $q \leq p$ .

A set  $G \subseteq P$  is a *filter* if any two elements of  $G$  have a lower bound in  $G$ .

If  $G \subseteq P$  intersects every dense subset of  $P$  in  $M$  then  $M[G]$  is the smallest model of ZFC containing  $M \cup \{G\}$ .

Every element of  $M[G]$  is the realization (by  $G$ ) of a name in  $M$ .

Moreover, every statement that holds in  $M[G]$  is forced to hold by some  $p \in G$ , meaning that the statement holds in every  $M[H]$  with  $p \in H$ .

Two partial orders:

- Cohen forcing.  $2^{<\omega}$ , with  $p \leq q$  if  $p$  extends  $q$ ; adds a new function from  $\omega$  to 2 (not in any meager set from the ground model).
- Random forcing. The non-null Borel sets under the order

$$p \leq q \Leftrightarrow \lambda(p \setminus q) = 0,$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue measure; adds a new real number (not in any null set from the ground model).

# The consistent failure of Choice (1)

Discontinuous  
homomor-  
phisms

P.B. Larson

Forms of  
Choice

Forcing

Amalgamation

The model

Suppose that  $M$  is a model of ZFC, and  $P$  is a partial order adding a new real number.

Let  $P_\omega$  be the set of finitely-supported functions from  $\omega$  to  $P$ , under the order of coordinatewise extension.

$P_\omega$  adds a sequence of new real numbers  $\langle g_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ .

## The consistent failure of Choice (2)

Inside the model  $M[\langle g_n : n \in \omega \rangle]$  we can form the model  $M[\{g_n : n \in \omega\}]$ .

This is a model of ZF for which every set is definable from an element of  $M$ , an ordinal, and finitely many members of  $\{g_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

Suppose toward a contradiction that  $M[\{g_n : n \in \omega\}]$  contains a well-ordering of its real numbers.

This wellordering is then defined in this model by a formula  $\varphi(x, y, \alpha, g_0, \dots, g_k)$ , for some  $k$ .

## The consistent failure of Choice (3)

Some condition  $p$  in the generic filter then forces, for some  $\ell$ , that  $g_\ell$  is minimal (according to this wellorder) among the members of  $\{g_n : n > k\}$ .

Extend  $p$  to a condition  $p'$  (also in the generic filter) such that, for some  $\ell' > \ell$ ,  $p'(\ell) = p'(\ell')$ .

Let  $g'_n = g_n$  for  $n \notin \{\ell, \ell'\}$ ,  $g'_\ell = g_{\ell'}$  and  $g'_{\ell'} = g_\ell$ .

We have then that  $M[\{g_n : n \in \omega\}]$  and  $M[\{g'_n : n \in \omega\}]$  are the same model, but also that they disagree about the truth value of  $\varphi(g_\ell, g_{\ell'}, \alpha, g_0, \dots, g_k)$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $(G, +)$  is an abelian group.

Say that  $h: G \rightarrow G$  is a partial homomorphism if the domain of  $h$  is a subgroup and

$$h(x + y) = h(x) + h(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(h)$ .

## Amalgamating homomorphisms (1)

Given partial homomorphisms  $h_1, \dots, h_n$ , there is a partial homomorphism  $h$  extending them all if and only if

$$h_1(x_1) + \dots + h_n(x_n) = h_1(y_1) + \dots + h_n(y_n)$$

whenever

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n = y_1 + \dots + y_n.$$

When  $n = 2$ , this happens if and only if  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  agree on the intersection of their domains.

# Amalgamating homomorphisms (2)

When  $n = 3$ , this happens if and only if

$$h_1(x_1) + h_2(x_2) = h_3(x_3)$$

whenever  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$ .

# Extending homomorphisms

Discontinuous  
homomor-  
phisms

P.B. Larson

Forms of  
Choice

Forcing

Amalgamation

The model

The additive group of  $\mathbb{R}$  is divisible (meaning that  $x/n$  exists for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

It follows that any partial homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  can be extended to a total homomorphism.

Real numbers  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are mutually generic random reals over  $V$  if they are produced by forcing with  $P \times P$ , where  $P$  is random forcing.

In this case  $V[x_1] \cap V[x_2] = V$ .

Furthermore, if  $x_3 = x_1 - x_2$ , then  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are pairwise mutually generic random reals, and  $V[x_i, x_j]$  is the same model for all  $i \neq j$  from  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are mutually generic random reals over  $V$ , and let  $x_3 = x_1 - x_2$ .

- If  $y$  is an element of  $(\mathbb{R}^{V[x_1]} + \mathbb{R}^{V[x_2]}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{V[x_3]}$ , then there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^V$  such that  $y = ax_3 + b$ .
- Let
  - $h_0$  be a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  to itself in  $V$ ,
  - $c$  be a real number in  $V$ , and,
  - for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $h_i$  be, in  $V[x_i]$ , a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +)^{V[x_i]}$  to itself extending  $h_0$ , with  $h_i(dx_i) = cdx_i$  for all  $d \in \mathbb{R}^V$ .

Then  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  can be amalgamated in  $V[x_1, x_2]$ .

# Proof of the first part (step one)

Discontinuous  
homomorphisms

P.B. Larson

Forms of  
Choice

Forcing

Amalgamation

The model

The proof of the first part of the lemma starts by considering a condition  $(E, F) \in P \times P$  and Borel functions  $r$ ,  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $(E, F)$  forces that

$$t(g_1 - g_2) = r(g_1) + s(g_2).$$

It suffices to consider the case where  $E$  and  $F$  are both subsets of some interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  of full measure.

# Extending an additive function

Discontinuous  
homomor-  
phisms

P.B. Larson

Forms of  
Choice

Forcing

Amalgamation

The model

The proof of the first part of the lemma uses the fact that if  $f: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

whenever  $|x|, |y|, |x + y| < \epsilon$ , then  $f$  extends to a homomorphism  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $g(x) = nf(x/n)$  for any  $n$  such that  $|x/n| < \epsilon$ .

It follows that if  $f$  is Borel then there is a real number  $a$  such that  $f(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

For the second part, it suffices to show that

$$h_1(y_1) + h_2(y_2) = h_3(y_3)$$

whenever  $y_1 + y_2 = y_3$ .

Fixing such  $y_1, y_2$  and  $y_3$ , we have from the first part of the lemma that  $y_3 = ax_3 + b$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(y_3) &= h_3(ax_3 + b) \\ &= h_3(ax_3) + h_3(b) \\ &= acx_3 + h_0(b) \\ &= ac(x_1 - x_2) + h_0(b) \\ &= acx_1 + h_1(b) - acx_2 \\ &= h_1(ax_1 + b) - h_2(ax_2). \end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$y_1 + y_2 = y_3 = ax_3 + b = a(x_1 - x_2) + b = (ax_1 + b) - ax_2.$$

Since  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are extensions of  $h_0$  existing in mutually generic extensions of  $V$  they can be amalgamated, which implies that

$$h_1(y_1) + h_2(y_2) = h_1(ax + b) - h_2(ax).$$

For each  $d \subseteq \omega_1$  let  $P_d$  be the countable support product of random forcing, indexed by the elements of  $d$ .

So a condition  $p$  in  $P_d$  has the form

$$\{B_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \text{supp}(p)\},$$

where  $\text{supp}(p)$  is a countable subset of  $d$  and each  $B_\alpha^p$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  of positive Lebesgue measure.

Then  $p_2 \leq p_1$  in  $P_d$  if and only if  $\text{supp}(p_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(p_2)$  and  $\lambda(B_\alpha^{p_2} \setminus B_\alpha^{p_1}) = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \text{supp}(p_1)$ .

We write  $G_d$  for a generic filter for  $P_d$ , and  $\dot{g}_\alpha$  for the natural  $P_{\{\alpha\}}$ -name for random real added by  $P_{\{\alpha\}}$ .

We let  $Q$  be a partial order whose conditions are pairs  $(d, \dot{h})$  such that  $d$  is a countable subset of  $\omega_1$  and  $\dot{h}$  is a  $P_d$ -name for a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  to itself.

The order is:  $(d_1, \dot{h}_1) \leq (d_0, \dot{h}_0)$  if  $d_0 \subseteq d_1$  and  $1_{P_{d_1}}$  forces that  $\dot{h}_0, G_{d_0} \subseteq \dot{h}_1, G_{d_1}$ .

Given  $q \in Q$  we write  $d_q$  and  $\dot{h}_q$  for the first and second coordinates of  $q$ , respectively.

We let  $\dot{H}$  be the  $Q$ -name for the  $P_{\omega_1}$ -name  $\{\dot{h} : (d, \dot{h}) \in K\}$ , where  $K$  denotes the generic filter for  $Q$ .

We implicitly work with the (dense) set of conditions  $(q, p)$  for which  $d_q = \text{dom}(p)$ .

The amalgamation facts discussed before give the following.

- For each  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , the set of  $q \in Q$  with  $\alpha \in d_q$  is dense.
- Every descending  $\omega$ -sequence in  $Q$  has a lower bound.
- $\dot{H}_K$  is, in the  $Q$ -extension  $V[K]$ , a  $P_{\omega_1}$ -name for a discontinuous homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}^{V[K, G_{\omega_1}]}, +)$  to itself.
- Letting  $(K, G_{\omega_1})$  denote a  $V$ -generic filter for  $Q * P_{\omega_1}$ , every element of  $\mathbb{R}^{V[K, G_{\omega_1}]}$  is an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{V[G_d]}$ , for some countable  $d \subseteq \omega_1$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $(S, +_S)$  be a Polish vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let

$$\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S$$

be an injective additive function. In any forcing extension

$$V[K, G_{\omega_1}]$$

by  $Q \times P_{\omega_1}$ , the function  $\dot{H}_{K, G_{\omega_1}}$  is a discontinuous homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  to itself, but there is no Hamel basis for  $(S, +_G)$  in the model

$$V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G_{\omega_1}]}, \dot{H}_{K, G_{\omega_1}}).$$

Suppose toward a contradiction that  $\dot{B}$  is a  $Q$ -name for a  $P_{\omega_1}$ -name for a Hamel basis for  $(S, +_S)$  in  $V(\mathbb{R}^{V[G_{\omega_1}]}, \dot{H}_{K, G_{\omega_1}})$ .

We may fix a condition  $(p_0, q_0)$ , a  $v \in V$ , a formula  $\varphi$ , a cardinal  $\lambda$  and  $P_{d_{q_0}}$ -names  $\dot{r}_1, \dots, \dot{r}_k$  for elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $(q_0, p_0)$  forces that

$$\dot{B} = \{y \in S : V_\lambda[K, G_{\omega_1}] \models \varphi(y, v, \dot{H}_{K, G_{\omega_1}}, \dot{r}_1, G_{d_{q_0}}, \dots, \dot{r}_k, G_{d_{q_0}})\}.$$

**Lemma.** For each  $(q, p) \leq (q_0, p_0)$ , for each  $P_{d_q}$ -name  $\tau$  for an element of  $S$ , the statement  $\tau \in \dot{B}$  is decided by  $(q, p')$  for densely many  $p' \in P_{d_q}$  below  $p$ .

Otherwise we can find conditions  $(q_1, p_1), (q_2, p_2) \leq (q, p)$  such that

- $d_{q_1} \cap d_{q_2} = d_q$  and
- $p_1 \restriction d_q = p_2 \restriction d_q$

and a  $P_{d_q}$ -name  $\tau$  such that  $(q_1, p_1)$  and  $(q_2, p_2)$  force opposite truth values for the statement  $\tau \in \dot{B}$ .

This is impossible since  $(q_1, p_1)$  and  $(q_2, p_2)$  would also be compatible.

We can then let, for each  $q \leq q_0$ ,  $\dot{B}^q$  be the  $P_{d_q}$ -name for the value of

$$\dot{B}_{K, G_{\omega_1}} \cap S^{V[G_{d_q}]},$$

for any  $Q \times P_{\omega_1}$ -generic filter  $(K, G_{\omega_1})$  containing  $(q_0, p_0)$ .

Then  $p$  forces in  $P_{d_q}$  that the realization of  $\dot{B}^q$  will be a maximal linearly independent subset of the  $\mathbb{R}$  of the  $P_{d_q}$ -extension.

**Lemma.** If  $q, q' \leq q_0$  with  $d_q = d_{q'} = d$  and  $G$  and  $G'$  are (respectively),  $V$ -generic filters for  $P_d$  with  $p_1 \in G \cap G'$  such that

$$\mathbb{R}^{V[G]} = \mathbb{R}^{V[G']}$$

and  $\dot{h}_{q,G} = \dot{h}_{q',G'}$ , then  $\dot{B}_G^q = \dot{B}_{G'}^{q'}$ .

Fix two ordinals,  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  in  $\omega_1 \setminus d_{q_0}$  and let

$$d = d_{q_0} \cup \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}.$$

Let  $G_0$  be  $V$ -generic for  $P_{d_{q_0}}$ .

Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be mutually generic random reals over  $V[G]$ , and let  $x_3 = x_1 - x_2$ .

Let  $G$  be the generic filter for  $P_d$  induced by  $G_0$  and  $(x_1, x_2)$  in coordinates  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .

Let  $G'$  be the generic filter for  $P_d$  induced by  $G_0$  and  $(x_1, x_3)$  in coordinates  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$

Fix a real number  $c \in V[G_{d_{q_0}}]$ , and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  let

$$h_i \in V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_i]$$

be a homomorphism from

$$(\mathbb{R}, +)^{V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_i]}$$

to itself extending  $\dot{h}_{q_0, G_{d_{q_0}}}$ , with

$$h_i(dx_i) = cdx_i$$

for all  $d \in \mathbb{R}^{V[G_{d_{q_0}}]}$ .

Applying the key lemma, let  $h$  be an amalgamation of  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  in  $V[G_d]$ .

The lemma above implies that there is a Hamel basis  $B$  for  $S$  in  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_1, x_2]$  whose restriction to each of  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_1]$ ,  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_2]$  and  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_3]$  is in the corresponding model.

However, since  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are pairwise mutually generic over  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}]$ , the intersection of any two of these models is  $V[G_{d_{q_0}}]$ .

If such a  $B$  did exist, then each of  $\pi(x_1)$ ,  $\pi(x_2)$  and  $\pi(x_3)$  would be a linear combination of elements of the corresponding set  $B \cap V[G_{d_{q_0}}][x_i]$  (with rational coefficients) in a unique way.

The equation  $\pi(x_3) +_S \pi(x_2) = \pi(x_1)$  however then gives two different linear combinations for  $\pi(x_1)$ .

The construction above gives a homomorphism  $h$  which is surjective but not injective.

It can be modified in the following ways.

- Require  $h$  to be injective but not surjective. .
- Require  $h$  to be bijective.
- Require the range of  $h$  to be  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ .
- Require  $\mathbb{R}/\{x : h(x) = x\}$  to have dimension 2.

**Question** (Zapletal) Does the existence of a Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  imply the existence of a Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ ?